

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RAHINAH IBRAHIM, an individual,

No. C 06-00545 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

**PARTIAL JUDGMENT  
PURSUANT TO FEDERAL  
RULE OF CIVIL  
PROCEDURE 54(b)**


DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY,  
MICHAEL CHERTOFF, in his official capacity as the  
Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security,  
TOM RIDGE, in his official capacity as the former  
Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security,  
TRANSPORTATION SECURITY  
ADMINISTRATION, KIP HAWLEY, in his official  
capacity as Administrator of the Transportation  
Security Administration, DAVID M. STONE, in his  
official capacity as Acting Administrator of the  
Transportation Security Administration, TERRORIST  
SCREENING CENTER, DONNA A. BUCELLA, in er  
official capacity as Director of the Terrorist Screening  
Center, NORM MINETA, in his official capacity as  
Secretary of Transportation, FEDERAL AVIATION  
ADMINISTRATION, MARION C. BLAKEY, in her  
official capacity as Administrator of the Federal  
Aviation Administration, FEDERAL BUREAU OF  
INVESTIGATION, ROBERT MUELLER, in his  
official capacity as Director of the Federal Bureau of  
Investigation, SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT, CITY OF  
SAN FRANCISCO, COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,  
COUNTY OF SAN MATEO, SAN FRANCISCO  
POLICE DEPARTMENT, UAL CORPORATION,  
UNITED AIRLINES; DAVID NEVINS, an individual,  
RICHARD PATE, an individual, JOHN  
BONDANELLA, an individual, JOHN  
CUNNINGHAM, an individual, ELIZABETH  
MARON, an individual, and DOES 1 THROUGH 100,  
inclusive,

Defendants.

1 For the reasons stated in the accompanying order granting the motions to dismiss  
2 brought by the federal defendants, the United Airlines defendants, and defendant John  
3 Bondanella, **FINAL JUDGMENT IS HEREBY ENTERED** in favor of these three sets of defendants  
4 and against plaintiff Rahinah Ibrahim pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b).  
5 Plaintiff's claims against these three sets of defendants are separable from plaintiff's claims  
6 against the remaining defendants and are fully adjudicated by the accompanying order. There is  
7 no just reason for delay given the novelty and seriousness of the legal issues involved in  
8 plaintiff's claims.

9  
10 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

11  
12 Dated: August 16, 2006.



---

WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE